Correlating the W Mass with Higgs Couplings

Sungwoo Hong

Enrico Fermi Institute University of Chicago Argonne National Laboratory

(Based on works in progress with Carlos Wagner and Liantao Wang)

Physics beyond the Standard Model in light of the CDF W boson mass anomaly

1. $\Delta M_W = 76 \pm 9 \text{ MeV}$ [CDF Collaboration '22]

1. $\Delta M_W = 76 \pm 9 \text{ MeV}$ [CDF Collaboration '22]



2. $M_Z = 91,192.0 \pm 6.4_{stat} \pm 4.0_{syst}$ MeV

• Consistent with world-average: $M_Z = 91,187.6 \pm 2.1 \text{ MeV}$

- 2. $M_Z = 91,192.0 \pm 6.4_{stat} \pm 4.0_{syst}$ MeV
 - Consistent with world-average: $M_Z = 91,187.6 \pm 2.1 \text{ MeV}$
 - Track momentum in COT (central outer tracking drift chamber) calibrated by masses of J/Ψ and Y (dimuon decay channel)
 - Combined momentum calibration then used to measure M_Z (in $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ channel)

* M_Z is consistent with SM but M_W deviates from SM by 7σ

[CDF Collaboration '22]



* M_Z is consistent with SM but M_W deviates from SM by 7σ

* This suggests that really the tension is in

$$\rho = \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} (= 1 \text{ in SM})$$

★ Deviation from $\rho = 1$ requires extra new physics source of $SU(2)_{cust}$ -violation

Cf) Other types of "solutions" to ΔM_W may exist.

General comments

 $\circ \Delta \rho = \alpha T$

 \circ More generally,

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W}{2(c_w^2 - s_w^2)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}S + c_w^2 T + \frac{c_w^2 - s_w^2}{4s_w^2} U \right)$$

General comments

 $\circ \Delta \rho = \alpha T$

 \circ More generally,

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W}{2(c_w^2 - s_w^2)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}S + c_w^2 T + \frac{c_w^2 - s_w^2}{4s_w^2} U \right)$$

○ In EFT operator language,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha S}{4s_w c_w v^2} (H^+ \tau^a H) W^a_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\alpha T}{v^2} \left| H^+ D_\mu H \right|^2$$

General comments

 $\circ \Delta \rho = \alpha T$

 \circ More generally,

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W}{2(c_w^2 - s_w^2)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}S + c_w^2 T + \frac{c_w^2 - s_w^2}{4s_w^2} U \right)$$

○ In EFT operator language,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha S}{4s_w c_w v^2} (H^+ \tau^a H) W^a_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\alpha T}{v^2} \left| H^+ D_\mu H \right|^2$$

 $U \leftrightarrow \frac{(H^+ W_{\mu\nu} H)^2}{M^4}$ (in this talk, we ignore this effect)

(Q) For what M, can we explain M_W ?

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}S + c_W^2 T \right)$$



(Q) For what M, can we explain M_W ?

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W}{2(c_w^2 - s_w^2)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}S + c_w^2T\right)$$

1) T-alone :
$$T \approx 0.11$$

2) T and S : $T \approx 0.142$, $S \approx 0.06$

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} (H^+ \tau^a H) W^a_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} |H^+ D_\mu H|^2$$

(Q) For what M, can we explain M_W ?

(A1) New physics at tree-level:

$$\frac{g^2 N_c N_f}{M^2} \sim \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} \quad \Rightarrow M \sim 6g \sqrt{N_c N_f} \text{ TeV}$$

(A2) New physics at loop-level:

$$\frac{g^2 N_c N_f}{16\pi^2 M^2} \sim \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad M \sim g \sqrt{N_c N_f} \text{ 477 GeV}$$

(Q) For what M, can we explain M_W ?

(A1) New physics at tree-level:

$$\frac{g^2 N_c N_f}{M^2} \sim \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} \quad \Rightarrow M \sim 6g \sqrt{N_c N_f} \text{ TeV}$$

(A2) New physics at loop-level:

$$\frac{g^2 N_c N_f}{16\pi^2 M^2} \sim \frac{1}{(6 \text{ TeV})^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad M \sim g \sqrt{N_c N_f} \text{ 477 GeV}$$

(i) Large shift $\Delta M_W \Rightarrow$ New physics in EW sector

(i) Large shift $\Delta M_W \Rightarrow$ New physics in EW sector

(ii) "Universal" new physics effects:

(iii) For loop-induced S and T: $M \sim \mathcal{O}(500)$ GeV

(iv) Within SM, there exist loop-induced couplings:

(iv) Within SM, there exist loop-induced couplings:



(iv) Within SM, there exist loop-induced couplings:



(iv) Within SM, there exist loop-induced couplings:



(v) New physics at $M \sim \mathcal{O}(500)$ GeV can contribute to these couplings. Note: $m_{SM} \sim 100 - 200$ GeV

We consider (somewhat) general new physics models and investigate correlations among [Hong, Wagner, Wang '22]

$$\Delta M_W$$
, δg_{hgg} , $\delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$, $\sin^2 heta^\ell_{
m eff}$, $M_{
m light}$

We consider (somewhat) general new physics models and investigate correlations among [Hong, Wagner, Wang '22]

$$\Delta M_W$$
, δg_{hgg} , $\delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$, $\sin^2 heta_{
m eff}^\ell$, $M_{
m light}$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

We consider (somewhat) general new physics models and investigate correlations among [Hong, Wagner, Wang '22]

$$\Delta M_W$$
, δg_{hgg} , $\delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$, $\sin^2 heta^\ell_{
m eff}$, $M_{
m light}$

(2) Scalar Model: $\Phi = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $S_{t,b} =$ singlets

$$\mathcal{L} = -m_{\Phi}^{2} |\Phi|^{2} - m_{S_{t}}^{2} |S_{t}|^{2} - m_{S_{b}}^{2} |S_{b}|^{2} - y_{1} |H \cdot \Phi|^{2}$$
$$-y_{2} \left| \widetilde{H} \cdot \Phi \right|^{2} - A_{t} H \cdot \Phi S_{t} - A_{b} \widetilde{H} \cdot \Phi S_{b}$$
$$-y_{3} |H|^{2} |S_{t}|^{2} - y_{4} |H|^{2} |S_{b}|^{2}$$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

 $\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

$$O \text{ Mass spectrum}$$

$$\Psi_{i} = (T_{i}, B_{i})^{T}, \chi_{i} \Rightarrow T_{h}, T_{l}, B$$

$$M_{T(l,h)}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[m_{\Psi}^{2} + m_{\chi}^{2} + \frac{v^{2}}{2} (y_{1}^{2} + y_{2}^{2}) \right]$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ \left((m_{\Psi}^{2} - m_{\chi}^{2} + \frac{v^{2}}{2} (y_{1}^{2} - y_{2}^{2}) \right)^{2} + 2v^{2} (m_{\Psi}y_{2} + m_{\chi}y_{2})^{2} }$$

 $M_B^2 = m_{\Psi}^2$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

• Mass spectrum $\Psi_{i} = (T_{i}, B_{i})^{T}, \chi_{i} \implies T_{h}, T_{l}, B$ $M_{T(l,h)}^{2} = M_{T(l,h)}^{2} (v)$

$$M_B^2 = m_{\Psi}^2$$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

 \circ Analytic results for S and T available.

 $16\pi s_w^2 c_w^2 T = (c_L^2 + s_L^2)\theta_+(x, y_l) + 2c_L c_R \theta_-(x, y_l) + \cdots$

$$x = \frac{M_B^2}{m_Z^2}$$
, $y_{l,h} = \frac{M_{T(l,h)}^2}{m_Z^2}$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

 \circ SU(2)_{cust}-breaking

- Recall: $H \rightarrow \Phi = (\widetilde{H}, H) \Rightarrow L \Phi R^+$
- $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_{cust}$ by $\langle \Phi \rangle$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = \text{singlets}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

 \circ SU(2)_{cust}-breaking

- Recall: $H \rightarrow \Phi = (\widetilde{H}, H) \Rightarrow L \Phi R^+$
- $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_{cust}$ by $\langle \Phi \rangle$

• *R* acts horizonatly $P = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^* & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^- & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow L$

(1) Fermion Model: $\Psi_i = SU(2)_L$ doublets, $\chi_i = singlets$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_1 \overline{\chi}_1 \Psi_2 H - y_2 \overline{\chi}_2 \Psi_1 \widetilde{H} - m_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 - m_{\chi} \overline{\chi}_1 \chi_2$$

- \circ SU(2)_{cust}-breaking
 - Any parameter treating H and \widetilde{H} differently

"
$$y_1 - y_2$$
"

 $\circ \Delta g_{hgg}$ and $\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$

For new physics scale M modestly larger than v we can use "Higgs low energy theorem"

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i} \frac{b_i e^2}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{M(\nu)^2} \right) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $\circ \Delta g_{hgg}$ and $\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$

For new physics scale M modestly larger than v we can use "Higgs low energy theorem"

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i} \frac{b_i e^2}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{M(\nu)^2} \right) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha}{16\pi v} \frac{h}{v} \left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v} \log \left(\det M^2(v) \right) \right) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \qquad (v \rightarrow v + h)$

 $\circ \Delta g_{hgg}$ and $\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}$

In our fermion model

$$\frac{\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}}{\alpha/16\pi} = \frac{\Delta g_{hgg}}{\alpha_s/16\pi} = \frac{b_{1/2}\nu}{M_{Tl}^2 M_{Th}^2} \left(y_1^2 y_2^2 \nu^2 - 2m_{\Psi} m_{\chi} y_1 y_2 \right)$$

Interplay M_W--Higgs Couplings--Mass bound



• Interplay *M_W*--Higgs Couplings--Mass bound



• Parameter Scan

We ask: given choices of $m_{\Psi}, m_{\chi}, y_1, y_2 \ (-2 \le y_{1,2} \le 2)$ and discrete choices: (un)colored, (un)charged

Is there non-trivial correlations among observables?

Parameter Scan



Parameter Scan



○ Parameter Scan



[ATLAS (13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹) '21]

 $[ATLAS (13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}) '21]$

○ Parameter Scan



Parameter Scan



[ATLAS (13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹) '21]

Parameter Scan



- With $\sigma_{hgg} \sim 8\%$, $\sigma_{h\gamma\gamma} \sim 5\%$ some models already constrained.
- Sensitivity will improve in HL-LHC and future (lepton) colliders
- For Lepton Collider, we expect $\sigma \sim 1~\%$
- This will provide very strong and invaluable test of M_W anomaly and BSM theory that might be responsible for it.

○ Parameter Scan



- Note: at least kinematically, new physics might be in the LHC reach or they can be comfortably in the range of **future colliders**
- M_W -Higgs coupling correlation provides complementary test to the direct searches.





• $\delta g \ll 1$ possible?





• $\delta g \ll 1$ possible? Yes, but at the price of unnatural fine-tunning!

$$\frac{\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}}{\alpha/16\pi} = \frac{\Delta g_{hgg}}{\alpha_s/16\pi} = \frac{b_{1/2}\nu}{M_{Tl}^2 M_{Th}^2} \left(y_1^2 y_2^2 \nu^2 - 2m_{\Psi} m_{\chi} y_1 y_2 \right)$$





• $\delta g \ll 1$ possible? Yes, but at the price of unnatural fine-tunning!

$$\frac{\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}}{\alpha/16\pi} = \frac{\Delta g_{hgg}}{\alpha_s/16\pi} = \frac{b_{1/2}v}{M_{Tl}^2 M_{Th}^2} \left(y_1^2 y_2^2 v^2 - 2m_{\Psi} m_{\chi} y_1 y_2 \right)$$

Four different parameters must conspire + why would weak scale be anything to do with heavy scales and their couplings?

Parameter Scan



 $\circ\,$ Parameter Scan



\circ Parameter Scan



Red: World-average

Green: SLD



Parameter Scan

• For *T*-dominant case,

$$\Delta M_W = \frac{\alpha M_W c_W^2}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} T$$
$$\Delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell} = \frac{-\alpha s_W^2 c_W^2}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} T$$
$$\rightarrow \Delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell} = \left(-\frac{2s_W^2}{M_W}\right) \Delta M_W^2$$

Red: World-average Green: SLD

Lessons

- 1. Generically, for needed (large) $\Delta M_W \sim 76$ MeV, one should expect $\mathcal{O}(5 - 10)\%$ deviation in loop-induced Higgs couplings, hgg, $h\gamma\gamma$
- 2. This is large enough either to be already constrained or to be well-tested in the near future
- 3. If CDF-II result in correct, there is a good chance we will see another excess in hgg, $h\gamma\gamma$ couplings, or even direct production of new physics states (exciting)!

Lessons

- 4. Generically, $\Delta g_{hgg} \approx 3 |\Delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}|$.
- 5. Uncolored new physics can be better hidden.
- 6. But, ultimately with lepton collider with $\sigma \sim 1\%$ we should see something.
- 7. If CDF-II result is correct, we have to face another issue with $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell}$ tension.

