Complex Single Benchmarks at Future Collider Experiments

arXiv: 2203.07455, 2211:XXXXX

Shekhar Adhikari, **Samuel D. Lane**, Ian M. Lewis, Matthew Sullivan

AEI Workshop for BSM / KIAS Workshop on Particle Physics & Cosmology

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Introduction

- The Higgs solidified the widely successful SM
- But there are many unanswered questions: Dark Matter, baryon asymmetry, naturalness
- Future colliders could find ⁻¹⁰ first evidence of new physics



Motivation

- Scalars are some of the simplest SM extensions
- They have been studied extensively in literature
 - arXiv: 1512.05355, 2007.02985, 1908.08554, 2101.0003, ...
- Most of these will assume additional symmetries
 - We take no symmetry assumptions
- The complex scalar introduces two new scalar resonances
 - Can lead to interesting discalar resonances
 - Under right assumptions this is equivalent to two real singlets

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

The General Complex Singlet

• Add a new complex scalar uncharged under SM and with no new symmetries.

•
$$S_c = (S_0 + iA)/\sqrt{2}$$

 $V(\Phi, S_c) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^4 + \frac{b_2}{2} |S_c|^2 + \frac{d_2}{4} |S_c|^4 + \frac{\delta_2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi |S_c|^2$
 $+ \left(a_1 S_c + \frac{b_1}{4} S_c^2 + \frac{e_1}{6} S_c^3 + \frac{e_2}{6} S_c |S_c|^2 + \frac{\delta_1}{4} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S_c + \frac{\delta_3}{4} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S_c^2 + \frac{d_1}{8} S_c^4 + \frac{d_3}{8} S_c^2 |S_c|^2 + \text{h.c.}\right)$

• $\langle S_c \rangle = 0$ without loss of generality.

Couplings and Production

- h_2 couples to fermions and gauge bosons like a SM Higgs boson of mass m_2 but suppressed by sin θ_1 .
- h_2 produced via ggF and VBF like SM higgs
- h_3 couplings to fermions and gauge bosons are doubly suppressed by sin $\theta_1 \sin \theta_2$
- Expect h_3 's main production mechanism to be via decays of $h_2 (h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_3 \text{ or } h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3)$

• Rotate to the mass eigenstates via





• Can remove one mixing angle using phase of singlet





• Take expansion in terms of small θ_2

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_2 \\ \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 & \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ S_0 \\ A \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\sin^2 \theta_2)$$



SM Higgs, mass 125 GeV Couples in usual way

Mass range: 0.3 to ~10 TeV Couplings to vector bosons and fermions ~ $sin(\theta_1)$

Masses 130, 200, 270 GeV Couplings to vector bosons and fermions $\sim \sin(\theta_1) \sin(\theta_2)$



Scalar Trilinear Couplings

$$h_{1}h_{1}h_{2} : \sin \theta_{1} \frac{m_{2}^{2} + 2m_{1}^{2} - [\operatorname{Re}(\delta_{3}) + \delta_{2}]v^{2}}{v} + \mathcal{O}(\sin^{2}\theta_{1}),$$

$$h_{1}h_{2}h_{3} : \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\delta_{3})}{2}v + \mathcal{O}(\sin\theta_{1}),$$

$$h_{2}h_{3}h_{3} : -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\operatorname{Re}(e_{1}) - \frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Re}(e_{2})\right) + \mathcal{O}(\sin\theta_{1}).$$

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Theory Constraints

- Narrow widths (10% of Mass)
 - Can be used to place upper bound on particular channels
- Bounded Below and Electroweak global minimum
 - Enforced numerically on the scalar potential
 - Reject parameter points which don't satisfy these constraints
- Perturbative Unitarity at tree level

$$\mathcal{M} = 16\pi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)a_j P_j(\cos\theta), \qquad |\delta_2|, |\Re(\delta_3)|, |\Im(\delta_3)| \le 16\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi |\lambda| \le \frac{16\pi}{3}, \quad |d_2| \le 8\pi,$$

Current Experimental Constraints

- Can combine direct searches and higgs signal strengths to place limit on $\sin \theta_1$ see Phys. Rev. D 103, 075027 for details and assumptions
- Heavy resonance chi square

$$\left(\chi_{i,h_2}^f\right)^2 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sigma_i(pp \to h_2) \mathrm{BR}(h_2 \to f) + \hat{\sigma}_{i,Exp}^f - \hat{\sigma}_{i,Obs}^f}{\hat{\sigma}_{i,Exp}^f/1.96}\right)^2 & \text{if } \hat{\sigma}_{i,Obs}^f \ge \hat{\sigma}_{i,Exp}^f \\ \left(\frac{\sigma_i(pp \to h_2) \mathrm{BR}(h_2 \to f)}{\hat{\sigma}_{i,Obs}^f/1.96}\right)^2 & \text{if } \hat{\sigma}_{i,Obs}^f < \hat{\sigma}_{i,Exp}^f. \end{cases}$$

Current Experimental Constraints

95% CL





Projected Future Constraints

- Take as inputs
 - HL-LHC upgrade
 - HL-LHC + FCC-ee
 - HL-LHC + ILC500
- Maximize Production rates for various discalar resonance



European Strategy 2020, arXiv 1910.11775

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Analytic Expectations

- Narrow Width places upper bound on new BR
 - $-\Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_{SM-like} + \Gamma_{new} < 0.1*m_2$
 - $BR_{new} < 1 \Gamma_{SM-like} / (0.1*m_2)$
- We can also use narrow width to find constraints on sin $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
 - First lets look at $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$
 - Then we will look at $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_3$ and $h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3$
 - Lets label the SM like decay width as $\Gamma_{SM}(h_2)$
 - It will depend on the mass m₂

Analytic Expectations: $h2 \rightarrow h1 h1$

- $\sigma / \sigma_{SM} = \sin^2\theta BR(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1)$
- Let us assume $\Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3) = \Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_3) = 0$
- Assume we saturate the total width bound
 - $\Gamma_{tot}(h_2) = \Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1) + sin^2 \theta \Gamma_{SM}(h_2) = 0.1 m_2$
 - $\sigma / \sigma_{SM} = \sin^2\theta (1 10 \sin^2\theta \Gamma_{SM}(h_2) / m_2)$
- At very high energy (m₂) GBET takes over

$$- \Gamma_{_{\rm SM}}(h_2) = \Gamma_{_{\rm SM}}(h_2 \rightarrow ZZ) + \Gamma_{_{\rm SM}}(h_2 \rightarrow WW) = 3 \Gamma_{_{\rm SM}}(h_2 \rightarrow ZZ)$$

-
$$\Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1) = \sin^2\theta \Gamma_{_{SM}}(h_2 \rightarrow ZZ) = \sin^2\theta \Gamma_{_{SM}}(h_2) / 3$$

• BR(h₂
$$\rightarrow$$
 h₁ h₁) = $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma / \sigma_{SM} = (3/16) (0.1 m_2) / \Gamma_{SM}(h_2)$

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Collider Scenarios

• Current Limits (arXiv 2203.07455)

- HL LHC
- HL LHC + FCCee
- HL LHC + ILC500



Collider Scenarios

• Current Limits (arXiv 2203.07455)

- HL LHC
- HL LHC + FCCee
- HL LHC + ILC500



Maximum Branching Ratio

Maximum Allowed Branching Ratios



Production Cross Sections



Collider Scenarios

• Current Limits (arXiv 2203.07455)

- HL LHC
- HL LHC + FCCee
- HL LHC + ILC500



HL-LHC + ILC500





HL-LHC + FCCee





$\sin \theta vs m_2$



PRELIMINARY

Outline

- Introduction/Motivation
- Model
- Constraints
- Analytical Expectations
- Results
- Summary

Summary

- The complex singlet extension allows for resonant production of multi scalar final states.
- The narrow width constraint has significant implications for the Branching ratios into these states in the high energy (m₂) limit
- Can find mass points with substantial branching ratios > 0.25 in the high mass regime
- The generalized double Higgs channels could be an essential discovery channels for the general complex singlet



Thanks for your attention!