

# **Neural Importance Sampling**

KIAS QUC-AIHEP Seminar - Seoul 2023 Ramon Winterhalder — UC Louvain



### 1. Machine learning for particle physics?

### 2. MadNIS — Basic functionality

### 3. MadNIS — Additional features

### 4. Summary and discussion

# How can ML help in particle physics?

# LHC analysis (oversimplified)



### LHC analysis + ML







### LHC analysis + ML



### LHC analysis + ML



### Nature

### How to simulate LHC events?

attern ogniton Detector-level observables



### How to simulate LHC events



### How to simulate LHC events













BDT [1707.00028, ...], NN [1810.11509, 2009.07819, ...] NF [2001.05486, 2001.05478, 2001.10028, 2005.12719, 2112.09145, 2212.06172, ...]



BDT [1707.00028, ...], NN [1810.11509, 2009.07819, ...] NF [2001.05486, 2001.05478, 2001.10028, 2005.12719, 2112.09145, 2212.06172, ...]





BDT [1707.00028, ...], NN [1810.11509, 2009.07819, ...] NF [2001.05486, 2001.05478, 2001.10028, 2005.12719, 2112.09145, 2212.06172, ...]





• Another problem is the high-dimensionality of the integrand  $\Theta$  Standard numerical methods scale badly: error  $\sim N^{-2/D} \cdots N^{-4/D}$ 

- Yes! Because
- ⊖ Analytic integration not feasible: PDFs, cuts, jet algorithm, complex amplitudes, ...

• Another problem is the high-dimensionality of the integrand  $\Theta$  Standard numerical methods scale badly: error  $\sim N^{-2/D} \cdots N^{-4/D}$  $\rightarrow$  Use Monte Carlo integration instead: error  $\sim N^{-1/2}$ 

- Yes! Because
- ⊖ Analytic integration not feasible: PDFs, cuts, jet algorithm, complex amplitudes, ...

• Another problem is the high-dimensionality of the integrand  $\Theta$  Standard numerical methods scale badly: error  $\sim N^{-2/D} \cdots N^{-4/D}$  $\rightarrow$  Use Monte Carlo integration instead: error  $\sim N^{-1/2}$ 



- Yes! Because
- Analytic integration not feasible: PDFs, cuts, jet algorithm, complex amplitudes, ...





### Are there bottlenecks?

### Höche et al. [1905.05120]

 $I = \int \mathrm{d}x f(x)$ 



 $I = \int \mathrm{d}x f(x)$ 



$$= \left\langle \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g(x)}$$



$$g$$
 close to  $f$ 

$$= \left\langle \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g(x)}$$

one map for each channel

$$I = \sum_{i} \left\langle \alpha_{i}(x) \frac{f(x)}{g_{i}(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g_{i}(x)}$$



### Importance sampling – VEGAS



# Importance sampling – VEGAS



Computationally cheap

 $\Theta$  High-dim and rich peaking functions  $\rightarrow$  slow convergence

⊖ Peaks not aligned with grid axes
→ phantom peaks





# Importance sampling - NN

### Using a Neural Network

- Unbinned and no grids
  - $\rightarrow$  no "phantom peaks"
- Bijectivity not guaranteed
  - $\rightarrow$  training unstable
- O Numerical Jacobians
  - $\rightarrow$  slow training and evaluation

[1707.00028, 1810.11509, 2009.07819]

# Importance sampling -- Flow

### Using a Neural Network

- Unbinned and no grids
  - $\rightarrow$  no "phantom peaks"
- Bijectivity not guaranteed
  - $\rightarrow$  training unstable
- O Numerical Jacobians
  - $\rightarrow$  slow training and evaluation

[1707.00028, 1810.11509, 2009.07819]



Using a Normalizing Flow
● Invertibility
→ bijective mapping
● tractable Jacobians
→ fast training and evaluation

[2001.05478, 2001.05486, 2001.10028, 2005.12719, 2112.09145]



### Neural Importance Sampling















### **Basic Functionality**

### MadNIS



$$\left. \alpha_i(x) \frac{f(x)}{g_i(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g_i(x)}$$



### Use physics knowledge to construct channel and mappings

$$\left. \alpha_{i}(x) \frac{f(x)}{g_{i}(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g_{i}(x)}$$



Use physics knowledge to construct channel and mappings

Normalizing flow to refine channel mappings

$$\left. \alpha_i(x) \frac{f(x)}{g_i(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g_i(x)}$$

Fully connected network to refine channel weights





$$\left. \alpha_{i}(x) \frac{f(x)}{g_{i}(x)} \right\rangle_{x \sim g_{i}(x)}$$

Use physics knowledge to construct channel and mappings

Fully connected network to refine channel weights

Update simultanously with variance as loss function



### Single channel *i*








### Combined

Channel 0



### Rel. error: $1.17 \pm 0.13$









Rel. error:  $0.50 \pm 0.14$ 

### **Channel 1**

Channel 2





## Toy example — Crossed ring





### Rel. error: $0.37 \pm 0.05$



### **Additional Features**

### MadNIS













## **VEGAS** initialization





### Combine advantages:

Pre-trained VEGAS grid as starting point for flow training

### **VEGAS** initialization

## **VEGAS** initialization



Combine advantages:

Pre-trained VEGAS grid as starting point for flow training



### Bin reduction



64 VEGAS bins

### Bin reduction



64 VEGAS bins



10 RQS bins



### MadNIS – VEGAZ-Block





## Buffered training



VEGAS Initialization















## Buffered training









## LHC examples



## LHC example I — Drell-Yan



## LHC example | - Drell-Yan



## LHC example | -- Drell-Yan



Peaks mapped out by different channels



## LHC example | - Drell-Yan





## LHC example | -- Drell-Yan





## LHC example II -- VBS





unweighting efficiency  $\eta$  [%]



## LHC example II -- VBS



### Unweighting efficiency improved up to factor ~9 compared to VEGAS



unweighting efficiency  $\eta$  [%]



## LHC example II – VBS



### Unweighting efficiency improved up to factor ~9 compared to VEGAS



Big improvement from VEGAS initialization



## LHC example II - VBS



### Unweighting efficiency improved up to factor ~9 compared to VEGAS

### Significant improvement from trained channel weights



Big improvement from VEGAS initialization



## LHC example II - VBS

## Buffered training: small effect on performance, much faster training



### Unweighting efficiency improved up to factor ~9 compared to VEGAS

### Significant improvement from trained channel weights



Big improvement from VEGAS initialization



## LHC example III – W + 2 jets



Process has small interference terms  $\rightarrow$  no significant improvement from trained channel weights

Otherwise similar to results for VBS



## Summary and outlook

### Summary

- MadNIS outperforms current sampling methods D
- Multi-channel is more efficient when trained  $\bullet$ **simultanously** with the flow
- Vegas initialization improves performance  $\bullet$



### Outlook



- Full integration of MadNIS into MadGraph
- Test performance on real LHC examples: (eg. multi-leg, NLO, complicated cuts, ...)
- Make everything run on the GPU and differentiable [MadJax 2203.00057]

# 

## Summary and outlook

### **HEPML-LivingReview**

### A Living Review of Machine Learning for Particle Physics

Modern machine learning techniques, including deep learning, is rapidly being applied, adapted, and developed for high energy physics. The goal of this document is to provide a nearly comprehensive list of citations for those developing and applying these approaches to experimental, phenomenological, or theoretical analyses. As a living droament, it will be updated as often as possible to incorporate the latest developments. A list of proper (unchanging) reviews can be found within. Papers are grouped into a small set of topics to be as useful as possible. Suggestions are most welcome.

### download review 💭 GitHub

The purpose of this note is to collect references for modern machine learning a applied to particle physics. A minimal number of categories is chosen in order to be as useful as possible. Note that paking the referenced in more than one category. The fact that a paper is listed in this document does not endorse or validate its patient – that is for the community (and for peer-review) to decide. Furthermore, the classification here is a best attempt and maximave flates – please let us know if (a) we have missed a paper you think should be included, (b) a paper has been misclassified, or (c) a called for a paper is not correct or if the journal information is now available. In order to be as useful as possible, this document will continue to evolve so please check back before you write your next paper. If you find this review helpful, please consider changing to solve (it using \cite{hepmllivingreview} in HEPML.bib.

- Reviews
  - Modern reviews
    - Jet Substructure at the Large Haussin Collider: A Review of Recent Advances in Theory and Machine Learning [DOI]
    - Deep Learning and its Application to LHC Physics [DOI]
    - Machine Learning in High Energy Physics Community White Paper [DOI]
    - Machine learning at the energy and intensity frontiers of particle physics
    - Machine learning and the physical sciences [DOI]
    - Machine and Deep Learning Applications in Particle Physics [DOI]
    - Modern Machine Learning and Particle Physics
    - Modern Machine Learning and Particle Physics
    - Machine and Deep Learning Applications in Particle Physics [DOI]
  - Machine learning and the physical sciences [DOI]
  - Machine learning at the energy and intensity frontiers of particle physics

### Outlook



- Full integration of MadNIS into MadGraph
- Test performance on real LHC examples: (eg. multi-leg, NLO, complicated cuts, ...)
- Make everything run on the GPU and differentiable [MadJax 2203.00057]
- Stay tuned for many other ML4HEP applications



### PML

## Summary and outlook



### Outlook



- Full integration of MadNIS into MadGraph
- Test performance on real LHC examples: (eg. multi-leg, NLO, complicated cuts, ...)
- Make everything run on the GPU and differentiable [MadJax 2203.00057]
- Stay tuned for many other ML4HEP applications

Got a facelift recently!



### PML