Type II Seesaw leptogenesis

Chengcheng Han(韩成成) Sun Yat-sen University

With Neil D. Barrie and Hitoshi Murayama arXiv:2106.03381(Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 141801) and arXiv:2204.08202(JHEP 05 (2022) 160)

Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) 2023.8.10



Very successful describing low energy scale physics

- Inflation
- Neutrino masses
- Baryon asymmetry of our universe
- Dark matter
- Others(muon g-2? W mass?)

today's talk

Inflation

Rapid expansion of the universe in the early time



- Flatness problem
- Horizon problem
- Seeding the primordial anisotropies in CMB

Inflation

Stretching quantum fluctuations to large scale



Such small fluctuations finally develops the large structure of our universe

Slow-roll inflation

Assuming a scalar field, with equation of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0$$
$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right)$$

Slow roll condition

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi) \qquad |\ddot{\phi}| \ll |3H\dot{\phi}|, |V_{,\phi}|$$

$$\epsilon_{\rm v}(\phi) \equiv \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{2} \left(\frac{V_{,\phi}}{V}\right)^2 \quad \eta_{\rm v}(\phi) \equiv M_{\rm pl}^2 \frac{V_{,\phi\phi}}{V} \quad \epsilon_{\rm v}, |\eta_{\rm v}| \ll 1$$

Daniel Baumann, TASI Lectures on Inflation

Slow-roll inflation

$$\begin{split} \text{Power spectrum} \quad \Delta_s^2(k) &\equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \langle \delta \phi(k) \delta \phi(k') \rangle \\ \left[\Delta_s^2(k) &\approx \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \frac{V}{M_{\text{pl}}^4} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{v}}} \right]_{k=aH} \\ \Delta_t^2(k) &\approx \frac{2}{3\pi^2} \frac{V}{M_{\text{pl}}^4} \right]_{k=aH} \\ n_{\text{s}} - 1 \quad \equiv \quad \frac{d \ln \Delta_s^2}{d \ln k} = 2\eta_{\text{v}} - 6\epsilon_{\text{v}} \\ n_s &\simeq 0.965 \end{split} \qquad r &\lesssim 0.056 \quad \text{tensor-scalar ratio} \end{split}$$

- Perturbation close to scale invariant
- Primordial gravitational wave(not observed yet)

Observation



too large r due to the non-flat of the potential

Neutrino oscillation requiring massive neutrinos



At least a neutrino mass larger or similar to 0.05 eV

A large hierarchy comparing with other fermion masses



Three types of seesaw model(tree level)

b



 $\langle H \rangle$ $\langle H \rangle$ $\langle H \rangle$ $\langle H \rangle$ μ_{Δ} Δ Σ_{R} Σ_{R} Y_{Δ} v_{L} Y_{Σ} M_{Σ} v_{L} Y_{Σ} v_{L} $v_{\rm I}$ $M_{\nu} = \langle H \rangle^2 Y_{\Lambda} \mu_{\Lambda} / M_{\Lambda}^2$ $M_{v} = -\langle H \rangle^{2} Y_{\Sigma} M_{\Sigma}^{-1} Y_{\Sigma}^{T}$ SM + 1 triplet Higgs SM + 3 triplet fermions Foot, Lew, He, Joshi Magg, Wetterich

Tommy Ohlsson, Shun Zhou, Nature Commun. 5 (2014) 5153

С

scalar

Adding three gauge singlets N(1, 1, 0)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + y_{\nu} \tilde{H} \bar{L} N - M_R \bar{N}^c N$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(H) \qquad (H)$$

$$(H)$$

$$(H$$

Neutrino mass is suppressed

 $v_{\rm I}$

Origin of neutrino masses: type II seesaw

Type II seesaw: introducing a triplet Higgs directly couple to leptons $H(2,1/2), \ \Delta(3,1), \ L(2,-1/2)$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h^+ \\ h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+ / \sqrt{2} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\Delta^+ / \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa}^{SM} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}y_{ij}\bar{L}_i^c\Delta L_j}_{\downarrow} + h.c.$$
$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}y_{ij}\Delta^0 \bar{\nu}^c \nu + h.c.}$$

- Giving neutrino mass matrix with vev of Delta
- Delta get a lepton number -2

Origin of neutrino masses: type II seesaw

$$H(2,1/2), \ \Delta(3,1), \ L(2,-1/2)$$
$$V(H,\Delta) = -m_H^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_H (H^{\dagger} H)^2 + m_\Delta^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_1 (H^{\dagger} H) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)$$

 $+\lambda_2(\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger}\Delta))^2 + \lambda_3\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger}\Delta)^2 + \lambda_4H^{\dagger}\Delta\Delta^{\dagger}H \\ + \left[\mu(H^T i\sigma^2\Delta^{\dagger}H) + h.c.\right] + \dots$

U(1)L breaking term

 $\langle \Delta^0 \rangle \simeq \frac{\mu v_{\rm EW}^2}{2m_{\star}^2}$



$$M_{v} = \langle H \rangle^{2} Y_{\Delta} \mu_{\Delta} / M_{\Delta}^{2}$$

EW precision measurement

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \text{ GeV} > |\langle \Delta^0 \rangle| \gtrsim 0.05 \text{ eV}$$

required by neutrino masses

Neutrino masses connecting another

important problem:

Baryon asymmetry of our universe

Baryon asymmetry of our universe





BBN

$$\eta = \frac{n_b - n_{\overline{b}}}{s} \sim 10^{-10}$$

$$\frac{n_b}{s} = \frac{n_{\overline{b}}}{s} \sim 10^{-20}$$

How to generate baryon asymmetry?

Assuming no baryon asymmetry in the beginning (if any, diluted by inflation)

Sakharov conditions

- 1. B number violation
- 2. C and CP violation
- 3. Out of thermal equilibrium

SM has (1) (2) but not enough CP violation, (3) does not

Three popular ways to generate baryon asymmetry

• Electroweak baryogenesis

Rubakov and Shaposhnikov, 1996' D. E. Morrissey and M. J. Ramsey–Musolf, 2012'

First order phase transition (adding scalars) + additional $\pounds P$

• Baryogenesis via thermal leptogenesis Fukugita and Yanagida, 1986' Connection to neutrino masses $n_B = \frac{28}{79} (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{L})_i$

• Baryogenesis from Affleck-Dine mechanism Affleck and Dine, 1985'

A well-known mechanism for SUSY

Baryogenesis via leptogenesis from Type I seesaw

Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification (4000+ citations), Fukugita and Yanagida, 1986'

$$\mathcal{L}_{I} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + i\overline{N_{R_{i}}}\partial N_{R_{i}} - \left(\frac{1}{2}M_{i}\overline{N_{R_{i}}^{c}}N_{R_{i}} + \epsilon_{ab}Y_{\alpha i}\overline{N_{R_{i}}}\ell_{\alpha}^{a}H^{b} + h.c.\right)$$



$$n_B = \frac{28}{79} (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{L})_i$$

Generally N mass > 10⁷ GeV, difficult to probe

How about type II seesaw leptogenesis?

Leptogenesis from type II seesaw?

Type II seesaw Neutrino Masses and Leptogenesis with Heavy Higgs Triplets (500+ citations) E. Ma, U. Sarkar, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80 (1998) 5716-5719

 $M \sim 10^{13} {
m GeV}$



$$\delta_i = 2 \left[B \left(\psi_i^- \to ll \right) - B \left(\psi_i^+ \to l^c l^c \right) \right]$$

$$\delta_{i} = \frac{Im \left[\mu_{1} \mu_{2}^{*} \sum_{k,l} y_{1kl} y_{2kl}^{*} \right]}{8\pi^{2} (M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})} \left[\frac{M_{i}}{\Gamma_{i}} \right]$$

At least two triplet Higgs are needed to generate the baryon asymmetry But one triplet Higgs is enough to give neutrino masses

Leptogenesis from type II seesaw

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 128, 141801 (2022)

Affleck-Dine Leptogenesis from Higgs Inflation

Neil D. Barrie[®],^{1,*} Chengcheng Han[®],^{2,†} and Hitoshi Murayama^{®3,4,5,‡} ¹Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Korea ²School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China ³Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁴Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8583, Japan ⁵Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 19 June 2021; revised 17 January 2022; accepted 9 March 2022; published 6 April 2022)

We find that the triplet Higgs of the type-II seesaw mechanism can simultaneously generate the neutrino masses and observed baryon asymmetry while playing a role in inflation. We survey the allowed parameter space and determine that this is possible for triplet masses as low as a TeV, with a preference for a small vacuum expectation value for the triplet $v_{\Delta} < 10$ keV. This requires that the triplet Higgs must decay dominantly into the leptonic channel. Additionally, this model will be probed at the future 100 TeV collider, upcoming lepton flavor violation experiments such as Mu3e, and neutrinoless double beta decay experiments. Thus, this simple framework provides a unified solution to the three major unknowns of modern physics—inflation, the neutrino masses, and the observed baryon asymmetry—while simultaneously providing unique phenomenological predictions that will be probed terrestrially at upcoming experiments.

Type II Seesaw leptogenesis



Neil D. Barrie,^a Chengcheng Han^b and Hitoshi Murayama^{c,d,e,1}

Chengcheng Han(SYSU) Type II Seesaw leptogenesis

Assuming phi is a complex scalar with B charge

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2|\phi|^2 + [c_{n,m}\phi^n(\phi^*)^m + h.c] \quad m \neq n$$

$$\downarrow$$
(B violation)

$$j_B^{\mu} = i(\phi^* \partial^{\mu} \phi - \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi^*)$$

 ϕ is spatially constant

$$n_B = i(\phi^* \dot{\phi} - \phi \dot{\phi}^*) = \rho_{\phi}^2 \dot{\theta} \qquad \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho_{\phi} e^{i\theta}$$

$$\dot{n}_B + 3Hn_B = \left[{\rm Im} \left(\phi \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} \right) \right] \ \ {\rm Only \ from \ U(1) \ breaking \ term}$$

A motion of theta will generate baryon number



Affleck-Dine mechanism



- Scalar particle taking B/L charge
- Small B/L violation term in the potential(charge neutral)
- Scalar particle with initial displaced vacuum

Affleck-Dine mechanism in SUSY

- Sfermions taking B/L charge
- Flat directions(charge neutral and easily displaced during infaltion)

Baryogenesis from Flat Directions of the Supersymmetric Standard Mode M. Dine, L. Randall, S. Thomas, Nucl.Phys.B458:291-326,1996 For example,



$$H_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \ L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = m^2 |\phi|^2 + \left[\frac{A}{M^{n-3}}\phi^n + h.c\right]$$

m, A term from SUSY breaking

Three conditions for Affleck-Dine mechanism

- Scalar particle taking B/L charge
- Small B/L violation term in the potential
- Scalar particle with initial displaced vacuum

No flat direction without SUSY

Type II seesaw

?

Affleck-Dine mechanism for type II seesaw

Three conditions for Affleck-Dine mechanism

- Scalar particle taking B/L charge
- Small B/L violation term in the potential
- Scalar particle with initial displaced vacuum

If the scalar plays the role of inflaton

Type II seesaw

Problem with inflation



too large r due to the non-flat of the potential

Similar idea of Higgs inflation

Bezrukov and Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett.B 659 (2008) 703-706

$$S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_J} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\xi \phi^2}{M_P^2} \right) R_J - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi|^2 - V_J(\phi) \right]$$

Weyl transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega(\phi)^2 g_{J\mu\nu} \qquad \Omega^2 = 1 + \frac{\xi \phi^2}{M_P^2}$$

 $R_J = \Omega^2 \left(R + 6\Box \ln \Omega - 6g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \ln \Omega \ \partial_\nu \ln \Omega \right)$

$$\frac{d\chi}{d\phi} = \left(\frac{1+\xi(1+6\xi)\phi^2/M_P^2}{(1+\xi\phi^2/M_P^2)^2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi - V(\chi) \right]$$

$$V(\chi) \equiv V_J(\phi(\chi))/\Omega^4(\phi(\chi))$$
 $\Omega^2 = 1 + \frac{\xi \phi^2}{M_P^2}$
 $V_J = \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4$ \longrightarrow $V = \frac{\lambda}{4\xi^2} M_p^4$

Potential becomes flat when chi(phi) becomes large

Plot borrowed from Bezrukov



To be consistent with inflation, we need add non-minimal couplings

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2}M_P^2 R - \left[f(H,\Delta)R - g^{\mu\nu}(D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger}(D_{\nu}H) - g^{\mu\nu}(D_{\mu}\Delta)^{\dagger}(D_{\nu}\Delta) - V(H,\Delta) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}}\right]$$

$$h \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho_H e^{i\eta} \qquad \Delta^0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho_\Delta e^{i\theta}$$

$$F(H,\Delta) = \xi_H |h|^2 + \xi_\Delta |\Delta^0|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \xi_H \rho_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi_\Delta \rho_\Delta^2$$

SM+Type II seesaw

During inflation(Oleg Lebedev and Hyun Min Lee, arXiv:1105.2284)

$$\frac{\rho_H}{\rho_\Delta} \equiv \tan \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda_\Delta \xi_H - \lambda_H \Delta \xi_\Delta}{2\lambda_H \xi_\Delta - \lambda_H \Delta \xi_H}}$$

$$\rho_H = \varphi \sin \alpha, \ \rho_\Delta = \varphi \cos \alpha$$
$$\xi \equiv \xi_H \sin^2 \alpha + \xi_\Delta \cos^2 \alpha$$

Similar to SUSY case, but mixing with a general angle

Finally the model can be simplified as

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{M_p^2}{2}R - \frac{\xi}{2}\varphi^2 R - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\nu}\varphi$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2\cos^2\alpha \ g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\theta\partial_{\nu}\theta - V(\varphi,\theta)$$

$$V(\varphi,\theta) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4 + 2\varphi^3\left(\tilde{\mu} + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_5}{M_p}\varphi^2\right)\cos\theta$$

We need keep the theta term, because

$$n_L = Q_L \varphi^2 \dot{\theta} \cos^2 \alpha$$

Lepton number generation

$$\xi = 300, \ \lambda = 4.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

 $\chi_0 = 6.0 M_p, \ \dot{\chi}_0 = 0, \ \text{and} \ \theta_0 = 0$



Lepton number is generated during inflation

After inflation, Lepton number is conserved

$T_{\rm reh} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{14} { m GeV}$

$$\eta_B = \frac{n_B}{s} \Big|_{\text{reh}} = \eta_B^{\text{obs}} \left(\frac{|n_{L_{\text{end}}}| / M_p^3}{1.3 \cdot 10^{-16}} \right) \left(\frac{g_*}{112.75} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_5 = 7 \cdot 10^{-15}$$
 for $\theta_0 = 0.1$

Wash out process



A small mu term is preferred

SM+Type II seesaw



- Triplet Higgs could be as light as TeV
- Vacuum value < 10 keV, traditional type II seesaw < 1 GeV

Phenomenology implications I: collider physics



For v > 1 MeV, mainly decay gauge bosons For v < 0.1 MeV, mainly decay leptons

Phenomenology implications I: collider physics

Current limit from LHC

Future reach



Smoking gun: observing doubly-charged Higgs from leptonic channel

Phenomenology implications II: flavor physics

$$\mathcal{B}(\mu^+ \to e^+ e^- e^+) = \frac{|y_{\mu e} y_{ee}^{\dagger}|^2}{16 G_F^2 m_{\Delta^{++}}^4}$$



 $\mathcal{B}(\mu^+ \to e^+ e^- e^+) \leqslant 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) \simeq \frac{\alpha}{3072\pi} \frac{\left| (y^{\dagger}y)_{e\mu} \right|^2}{G_F^2} \left(\frac{1}{m_{\Delta^+}^2} + \frac{8}{m_{\Delta^{++}}^2} \right)^2 & \qquad \swarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 4.2 \times 10^{-13} & \qquad \mu & \qquad \swarrow e \end{aligned}$$

Phenomenology implications III: neutrino physics



Phenomenology implications III: neutrino physics

• CP violation in neutrino sector







Nova, 21'

Leptogenesis even without CP violation

Phenomenology implications IV: cosmological signal

- \bullet Tensor to scalar ratio, within the future reach of LiteBIRD 0.0033 < r < 0.0048
- Non-Gaussian signature, model dependent

• Imprint of isocurvature signature from baryon matter

• Gravitational wave from preheating

 One simple extension of SM, three problems can be solved: inflation, baryogenesis and neutrino masses

 Unique signatures at collider, LFV violation, neutrino experiments and astronomy observations

Thanks

Back up

Unitary problem for Higgs inflation

Cut-off grows with the field background

Jordan frame



Relation between cut-offs in different frames:

$$\Lambda_{\mathsf{Jordan}} = \Lambda_{\mathsf{Einstein}} \Omega$$

Reheating temperature $M_P/\xi < T_{\text{reheating}} < M_P/\sqrt{\xi}$ Problems during reheating

Einstein frame



Relevant scales at inflation Hubble scale $H \sim \lambda^{1/2} \frac{M_P}{\xi}$ Energy density at inflation $V^{1/4} \sim \lambda^{1/4} \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\xi}}$

Instanton, sphaleron process



Instanton, sphaleron



Baryon asymmetry via leptogenesis

1. the sphaleron interactions themselves:

$$\sum_i (3\mu_{q_i}+\mu_{\ell_i})=0$$

2. a similar relation for QCD sphalerons:

$$\sum_{i} (2\mu_{q_i} - \mu_{u_i} - \mu_{d_i}) = 0.$$

3. vanishing of the total hypercharge of the universe:

$$\sum_{i} \left(\mu_{q_i} - 2\mu_{\bar{u}_i} + \mu_{\bar{d}_i} - \mu_{\ell_i} + \mu_{\bar{e}_i} \right) + \frac{2}{N} \mu_H = 0$$

4. the quark and lepton Yukawa couplings give relations:

$$\mu_{q_i} - \mu_{\phi} - \mu_{d_j} = 0, \quad \mu_{q_i} - \mu_{\phi} - \mu_{u_j} = 0, \quad \mu_{\ell_i} - \mu_{\phi} - \mu_{e_j} = 0.$$

$$B = \frac{8N+4}{22N+13} (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{L})_i$$

Similar idea of Higgs inflation

Bezrukov and Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett.B 659 (2008) 703-706

$$S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_J} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\xi \phi^2}{M_P^2} \right) R_J - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi|^2 - V_J(\phi) \right]$$

Weyl transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega(\phi)^2 g_{J\mu\nu} \qquad \Omega^2 = 1 + \frac{\xi \phi^2}{M_P^2}$$

 $R_J = \Omega^2 \left(R + 6\Box \ln \Omega - 6g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \ln \Omega \ \partial_\nu \ln \Omega \right)$

$$\frac{d\chi}{d\phi} = \left(\frac{1+\xi(1+6\xi)\phi^2/M_P^2}{(1+\xi\phi^2/M_P^2)^2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi - V(\chi) \right]$$

$$V(\chi) \equiv V_J(\phi(\chi))/\Omega^4(\phi(\chi)) \qquad \Omega^2 = 1 + rac{\xi\phi^2}{M_P^2}$$
 $V_J = rac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad V = rac{\lambda}{4\xi^2}M_p^4$

Potential becomes flat when chi(phi) becomes large

Plot borrowed from Bezrukov



Prediction of the model

$$n_s \simeq 1 - \frac{2}{N_*}$$
, and $r \simeq \frac{12}{N_*^2}$

 $0.96 \lesssim n_s \lesssim 9.667$ $0.0033 \lesssim r \lesssim 0.0048$

Current observation

 $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0042$ (68%C.L.) $r_{0.002} < 0.056$ (95%C.L.)

What is phi? SUSY case

Many scalars take B/L charge

• Flat directions(quartic coupling vanish)

Baryogenesis from Flat Directions of the Supersymmetric Standard Mode M. Dine, L. Randall, S. Thomas, Nucl.Phys.B458:291-326,1996





$$V = m^{2} |\phi|^{2} + \left[\frac{A}{M^{n-3}}\phi^{n} + h.c\right]$$

m, A term from SUSY breaking

Affleck-Dine mechanism for SUSY

For example,

$$H_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \ L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_u^0 = \phi \sin \alpha$$
 $L^0 = \phi \cos \alpha$ $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$

$$W = \frac{1}{M} (LH_u)^2 = \frac{1}{2M} \phi^4 \qquad M \sim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$$

Weinberg operator in SUSY version, giving neutrino masses

Including the SUSY breaking (supergravity mediation)

$$V(\phi) = m^2 |\phi|^2 + \left(\frac{2A}{M}\phi^4 + h.c\right) + \frac{4}{M^2} |\phi|^6$$

U(1)L breaking term

m, A are SUSY breaking parameters

$$m, A \sim m_{3/2}$$

Equation of motion in an expansion of universe

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^*} = 0$$

An approximation

Only from U(1) breaking term

$$\dot{n}_B + 3Hn_B = \operatorname{Im}\left(\phi \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}\right)$$

At
$$t_0 = 1/H \sim 1/m$$
 $V = \frac{1}{2}m^2|\phi|^2 + [c_n\phi^n + h.c]$

$$n_{B0} \sim \frac{nc_n \phi^n}{m} \sim \frac{nc_n \rho^n (\sin n\theta_0)}{2^{n/2} m} \qquad n_B \sim n_{B0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{\circ}$$

Importance of flat direction(scalars during inflation)

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2|\phi|^2 + [c_{n,m}\phi^n(\phi^*)^m + h.c] \quad m \neq n$$

Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background, Starobinsky and Yokoyama, 94'

$$m \ll H_{inf}$$
 $\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \frac{3H_{inf}^4}{8\pi^2 m^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2m^2}{3H^2}t}\right)$

Correlation length
$$R_c = \frac{1}{H_{inf}} \exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\log 2\frac{H_{inf}^2}{m^2}\right)$$

 $m < 0.01 H_{inf}$ $\phi_0 \ \theta_0$ as a constant in our universe

Small m is also preferred by limit of baryonic isocurvature

Importance of flat direction(scalars during inflation)

For a general model without supersymmetry

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda|\phi|^4 + [c_{n,m}\phi^n(\phi^*)^m + h.c] \quad m \neq n$$

Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background, Starobinsky and Yokoyama, 94'

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = 0.13 \lambda^{-1/2} H_{inf}^2$$

$$R_c \approx \frac{1}{H_{inf}} \exp\left(3.8\lambda^{-1/2}\right)$$

 $\lambda \sim 0.1$ $heta_0$ is random distributed

Different patches of universe different baryon number, average close 0

Plot borrowed from Bezrukov



Importance of flat direction(scalars during inflation)

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2 |\phi|^2 + [c_{n,m}\phi^n(\phi^*)^m + h.c] \quad m \neq n$$

Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background, Starobinsky and Yokoyama, 94'

$$m \ll H_{inf}$$
 $\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \frac{3H_{inf}^4}{8\pi^2 m^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2m^2}{3H^2}t}\right)$

Correlation length
$$R_c = \frac{1}{H_{inf}} \exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\log 2\frac{H_{inf}^2}{m^2}\right)$$

 $m < 0.01 H_{inf}$ $\phi_0 \ \theta_0$ as a constant in our universe

Small m is also preferred by limit of baryonic isocurvature

Importance of flat direction(scalars during inflation)

For a general model without supersymmetry

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda|\phi|^4 + [c_{n,m}\phi^n(\phi^*)^m + h.c] \quad m \neq n$$

Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background, Starobinsky and Yokoyama, 94'

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = 0.13 \lambda^{-1/2} H_{inf}^2$$

$$R_c \approx \frac{1}{H_{inf}} \exp\left(3.8\lambda^{-1/2}\right)$$

 $\lambda \sim 0.1$ $heta_0$ is random distributed

Different patches of universe different baryon number, average close 0