Does the 220 PeV Event at KM3NeT Point to New Physics?

Vedran Brdar
Oklahoma State University

Neutrino Astronomy: IceCube



Neutrino Astronomy: IceCube





IceCube collaboration, Science 2023



Former Energy Champion: Glashow Resonance at IceCube

Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 51, 185 (2019)



- $\mathcal{O}(100)$ upgoing tracks and HESE events
- Glashow resonance: cross section enhancement from on-shell W^- production
- $\sigma \propto \frac{1}{(E-E_0)^2+\Gamma^2}$, with $E_0 = \frac{M_W^2}{2m_e} \approx 6.3$ PeV

S. Glashow, Phys. Rev. 118, 316



- shower with an energy of 6.05 ± 0.72 PeV
- presence of electron antineutrinos in the astro-physical flux $\rightarrow$ way to distinguish ν from $\bar{\nu}$

Nature 591, 220–224 (2021)



KM3NeT





New Energy Champion: KM3-230213A Event

- The KM3NeT collaboration reported the detection of a $\sim$ 120 PeV muon originating from a neutrino interaction with a median neutrino energy of 220 PeV
- This is the highest-energy neutrino ever detected, exceeding the Glashow resonance event in IceCube's dataset by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(10)$

KM3NeT Collaboration, Nature (2025)



What about IceCube?

- IceCube has been operating with a much larger effective area for a longer time and has not observed neutrinos above ~ 10 PeV
- 2-3.5σ tension, depending on the neutrino source (Li et al., arXiv:2502.04508)
- Event such as KM3-230213A would be expected in 70 years of observation...an upward fluctuation at the level of 2.2σ (KM3NeT, Nature (2025))





KM3NeT vs IceCube

$$\frac{dN(E_\nu)}{dE} = T \int d\Omega\, A_{\rm eff}(E_\nu, \cos\theta)\, \Phi(E_\nu, \Omega)$$

- The difference in the effective areas between IceCube and KM3NeT is ~ 20
- To explain the tension, the neutrino flux at KM3NeT needs to be larger by a similar factor
- How to achieve that? $\Longrightarrow$ Sterile neutrinos partially converting into active neutrinos inside Earth

Li et al., arXiv:2502.04508



Sterile Neutrino Sources

- Lack of multi-messenger observations corresponding to KM3-230213A event

Speculations on sterile neutrino sources:

- A dense outer layer around the source - stops/downscatters SM particles
- AGN jets + dense matter blocking ultra high energy SM particles
- Dark sector stars





arXiv > hep-ph > arXiv:2502.21299

Search...

Help

High Energy Physics - Phenomenology

[Submitted on 28 Feb 2025]

Does the 220 PeV Event at KM3NeT Point to New Physics?

Vedran Brdar, Dibya S. Chattopadhyay

The KM3NeT collaboration recently reported the observation of KM3-230213A, a neutrino event with an energy exceeding 100 PeV, more than an order of magnitude higher than the most energetic neutrino in IceCube's catalog. Given its longer data-taking period and larger effective area relative to KM3NeT, IceCube should have observed events around that energy. This tension has recently been quantified to lie between 2σ and 3.5σ, depending on the neutrino source. A $\mathcal{O}(100)$ PeV neutrino detected at KM3NeT has traversed approximately 147 km of rock and sea en route to the detector, whereas neutrinos arriving from the same location in the sky would have only traveled through about 14 km of ice before reaching IceCube. We use this difference in propagation distance to address the tension between KM3NeT and IceCube. Specifically, we consider a scenario in which the source emits sterile neutrinos that partially convert to active neutrinos through oscillations. We scrutinize two such realizations, one where a new physics matter potential induces a resonance in sterile-to-active transitions and another one where off-diagonal neutrino non-standard interactions are employed. In both cases, sterile-to-active neutrino oscillations become relevant at length scales of ~ 100 km, resulting in increased active neutrino flux near the KM3NeT detector, alleviating the tension between KM3NeT and IceCube. Overall, we propose the exciting possibility that neutrino telescopes may have started detecting new physics.



- $\mathcal{O}(100)$ PeV neutrino detected at KM3NeT has traversed $\sim$ 150 km of rock and sea en route to the detector
- Neutrinos arriving from the same location in the sky (angle of 8° w.r.t. horizon) would have only traveled through $\sim$ 14 km of ice before reaching IceCube

Model 1

Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance

- in a 2-flavor scenario (ν_μ and ν_s), MSW resonance is realized when $V = \cos 2\theta \, \Delta m^2/(2E_\nu)$
- in SM, matter potential V is $\sim 10^{-23}$ GeV; resonant oscillation length $L_{\rm res} \simeq \pi/(V \sin 2\theta)$ for $\theta^2 = 10^{-3}$ reads $L_{\rm res} = 10^5$ km
- for KM3NeT ($L \sim 100$ km), $L^2/L_{\rm res}^2 \simeq 10^{-6}$
- consider a large sterile neutrino matter potential ($V_s \gg V_{\rm SM}$) in order to have larger $\nu_s \to \nu_\mu$ transition probability
- model with spontaneously broken $U(1)_B$ (Pospelov, PRD 2011)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_b' \bar{\nu}_s \not{V} \nu_s + (g_b/3) \sum_q \bar{q} \not{V} q + \text{h.c.}$$

- EFT framework: $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} \supset \frac{g_b g_b'}{2m_V^2} \left[\bar{\nu}_s \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) \nu_s\right]\left[\bar{p}\gamma^\mu p + \bar{n}\gamma^\mu n\right]$

Sterile neutrino potential $V_s = \left[g_b g_b'/m_V^2\right](n_p + n_n) \equiv G_B (n_p + n_n)$

Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & s_\theta \\ -s_\theta & c_\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m_s^2}{2E_\nu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & -s_\theta \\ s_\theta & c_\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} V_{\rm NC} & 0 \\ 0 & V_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{\rm NC} = -(\sqrt{2}/2) G_F n_n \approx -(1/2) V_{\rm CC}$$

- $V_s = 2 G_F \epsilon_{ss} (n_n + n_p)$
- $\mathcal{O}(10^2 - 10^3)\, \epsilon_{ss}$ considered
- for $\mathcal{O}(100)$ PeV neutrino energy, resonance occurs for $\sqrt{\Delta m^2} \approx m_s \simeq 2 \times 10^{-1} \sqrt{\epsilon_{ss}}$ keV $\Rightarrow$ keV sterile neutrino





Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance





- The difference in $P(\nu_s \to \nu_\mu)$ implies a larger active neutrino flux at KM3NeT compared to that at IceCube, alleviating the tension

$U(1)_B$ Constraints



- we require $\epsilon_{ss} \simeq 100$

$$\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_Z}{M_{Z'}}\right)^2 \simeq 100$$



Harnik, Kopp, Machado, JCAP 2012

	$U(1)_{B-L}$ (vector couplings) (Model A)	Kinetically mixed (Model B)	$U(1)_B$ (vector couplings) (Model C)
$g-2$	✓	✓	✗
Fixed Target	✓	✓	✗[a]
Υ	✓	✓	✗[b]
Atomic physics	✓	✓	✗
Sun/Clusters/CAST	✓	✓	?
SN1987A	✓	✓	✓
LSW	✓	✓	✗
CMB	✓	✓	?
Borexino	✓	only if ν_s exist	✗
GEMMA	✓	✗	✗
Fifth force	✓	✗	✓

Alleviating Sterile Neutrino Constraints

- ν_s + secret self-interactions (1310.6337,1806.10629)
- ν_s with initially a very large mass generated by the VEV of a new scalar field (1806.10629)
- Yukawa coupling of ν_s to ultra-light scalar particle (dark matter) $\rightarrow$ large effective mass of ν_s in early Universe (1907.04271,1908.02278)
- Low reheating temperature (2501.01369)





Model 2

Neutrino production via non-standard interactions

- Consider the following Hamiltonian in the $(\nu_\mu,\ \nu_s)$ flavor basis

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & s_\theta \\ -s_\theta & c_\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s^2/(2E_\nu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & -s_\theta \\ s_\theta & c_\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} V_{\rm NC} & \epsilon_{\mu s} V_{\rm CC} \\ \epsilon_{\mu s} V_{\rm CC} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- NSI term arises from $\mathcal{L} \supset -2\sqrt{2} G_F \epsilon^f_{\mu s} \, (\bar{\nu}_s \gamma^\mu P_L \nu_\mu)(\bar{f}\gamma_\mu f)$

- The effective mixing angle and mass-squared difference in the limit of small θ:

$$\theta_m = \frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{4\epsilon_{\mu s} E_\nu V_{\rm CC}}{m_s^2 + E_\nu V_{\rm CC}}\right) \qquad \Delta m^2_{\rm eff} = \sqrt{(E_\nu V_{\rm CC} + m_s^2)^2 + 16\epsilon^2_{\mu s} E_\nu^2 V^2_{\rm CC}}$$

- $\nu_s \to \nu_\mu$ conversion probability:

$$P_{s\mu} = \sin^2(2\theta_m)\sin^2\left[\Delta m^2_{\rm eff} L/(4E_\nu)\right]$$

Neutrino production via non-standard interactions



- $\epsilon_{\mu s}$ values up to $\mathcal{O}(1\text{–}10)$ have a negligible effect on low-energy neutrino oscillations
- The difference in the propagation length between KM3NeT and IceCube leads to a difference in $P_{s\mu}$, which implies a larger active neutrino flux at KM3NeT, alleviating the tension

Alternative explanations for the tension

- in addition to oscillations, scattering in Earth is an option to explain the tension



arXiv > hep-ph > arXiv:2505.22754

Search...
Help | A

High Energy Physics - Phenomenology

[Submitted on 28 May 2025]

`Dark' Matter Effect as a Novel Solution to the KM3-230213A Puzzle

P. S. Bhupal Dev, Bhaskar Dutta, Aparajitha Karthikeyan, Writasree Maitra, Louis E. Strigari, Ankur Verma

arXiv > hep-ph > arXiv:2505.22711

Search...
Help

High Energy Physics - Phenomenology

[Submitted on 28 May 2025]

Astrophysical sources of dark particles as a solution to the KM3NeT and IceCube tension over KM3-230213A

Yasaman Farzan, Matheus Hostert

Take-Home Message

- KM3NeT collaboration observed highest-energy neutrino event exceeding $\mathcal{O}(100)$ PeV
- No such observation has been reported at IceCube, resulting in a tension of 2–3.5σ
- To alleviate the tension, we use the fact that the path through the Earth for KM3NeT is an order of magnitude longer than that for IceCube, leading to a larger $P(\nu_s \to \nu_\mu)$ and hence a higher flux of muon neutrinos at KM3NeT